



Minutes Submitted by: Chantelle Branch & Nicole Bahena

BOARD COMMITTEE DESCRIPTION	<p>The Executive Committee is responsible for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Setting and timely dissemination of the agenda for CoC Board meetings, including regular updates on the work of Committees, as well as for the two annual all-CoC member meetings • Providing Board leadership in ensuring clear and effective lines of communications between the Board and CoC members and stakeholders and that CoC planning and implementation is consistent with requirements articulated by HUD in 24 CFR Part 578 and other directives, with applicable federal, state, and local laws, and with the strategic direction of Plan 2.0. • Setting and upholding process decisions to determine which issues go to the Board for official vote, and for determining when the need for action is sufficiently urgent as to require either an unscheduled meeting, or a vote by the Board on a matter which was not included on the publicly disseminated meeting agenda, or action by the Board without a meeting. • Reviewing progress of the CoC Implementation Structure Lines of Action with System Lead Project Managers and determine which activities of the CoC Implementation Structure need to go to the Board for discussion or decision making.
ATTENDEES	<p>Fred Friedman (LEC), Richard Rowe (LEC), Michael Banghart (SPC), Brandie Knazze (DFSS) Debbie Reznick (LEC), Carmelo Barbaro (UChicago)</p> <p>All Chicago: Nicole Bahena, Chantelle Branch</p>
BRIEF SUMMARY OF DECISIONS MADE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The EC decided to not hold a public comment period, but will allow public comment to be submitted in advance via a survey. - The EC wanted to allow board members an opportunity to vote in case they cannot attend the meeting. - The EC set the agenda for the upcoming CoC Board Meeting.

ACTION ITEMS (INCLUDING ANY AREAS OF UNFINISHED BUSINESS)	PERSON / PARTY RESPONSIBLE	TIMELINE
Reach out to other Executive Committee members to attend the Special Board meeting.	Debbie Reznick	10.25.21 (<i>Mon morning</i>)
Work with members to formulate the processes for Special Board Meeting.	Debbie Reznick	10.25.21 (<i>Mon morning</i>)

AGENDA ITEM	Introduction- Richard Rowe
SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT OUTCOMES (MOTIONS, DECISIONS)	Richard greeted the committee and went over today's agenda.

AGENDA ITEM	Ranking Policies
<p>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT OUTCOMES (MOTIONS, DECISIONS)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nicole reminded the committee that they need to make a decision on the ranking policies and the new projects slate and the amount recommended. The new projects slate needs to be put forth or rerecommended by Tuesday. • Richard referenced what could potentially change is the new projects. • Debbie referenced the need to add additional information to send out to the board before the meeting next week. Her recommendation would be that the executive committee except whatever the recommendation of the bonus project committee because they have done the analysis. Then figure out what to put forward for the ranking. • Richard referenced the additional information to spark the rationale of the board when asked, “What are the tradeoffs for asking for system support first? How much of the bonus will be funded? If you are ranked in the bottom tier, will you be cut off?” • Michael referenced the belief of what will be funded and how much of it will be funded. The SPC thinks that the system application that was ranked at the top is important but wants to be sure that some supportive housing is funded. • Richard referenced that there is not a real way to know. • Michael asked what can be included? • Nicole referenced the \$253K reallocated money that is guaranteed and that would go to the top project of the new project ranking. • Michael asked if \$253K comes out of the 9M if HUD decided to fund it all. • Nicole referenced that DV is a different pot of money but if it is not ranked and HUD reaches Chicago’s DV bonus applications and doesn’t have funding, HUD could decide to use regular funding to fund DV projects depending on where it is ranked. Nicole referenced that perhaps HUD wants to maintain control over DV as a priority area and if HUD likes the committees DV project over the non DV project, HUD could decide to fund the DV project instead. • Brandie asked is the goal to take the projects now and determine what the ranking is? • Nicole referenced the new projects group is deciding which projects to put forth and the dollar amounts and because there are more than 1, then they will be ranked according to the strength of their application. • Carmelo referenced the role of the board to offer some guidelines for the committee to operate ethically. It is not tenable for the board to second guess the committees who have done the work.

AGENDA ITEM	Friday Meeting
<p>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT OUTCOMES (MOTIONS, DECISIONS)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The group reflected on the proposed agenda for Friday’s Meeting. • The EC decided to not hold a public comment period, but will allow public comment to be submitted in advance via a survey. The EC wanted to allow board members an opportunity to vote in case they cannot attend the meeting. • Brandi referenced moving forward on taking a vote. • Nicole referenced for the SPC to get on the same page about PSH and if it is bumped up then it will conflict with the youth and the SPC will challenge the move. • Debbie referenced that Carmelo could talk about the processes and ask someone from the ranking policy piece and special project and trust the committee. Will take seriously the

	<p>processes that have taken place and what should happen going forward.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nicole referenced a survey to the board to allow members who cannot attend to vote.
--	--

AGENDA ITEM	Adjourn- Richard Rowe
SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT OUTCOMES (MOTIONS, DECISIONS)	Richard adjourned the meeting.