



These meeting notes are considered draft until approved by the Subcommittee.

BOARD COMMITTEE DESCRIPTION	The Collaborative Applicant Subcommittee will be chaired by a member of the SOPC and may include CoC members who are not members of the SOPC. The Collaborative Applicant Subcommittee is responsible for: Development and execution of the Collaborative Applicant MOU; Annual administrative evaluation of the Collaborative Applicant; Oversee a competitive process as specified in the Collaborative Applicant MOU, if necessary.
ATTENDEES	<p>Members: Robert Cunningham, LEC; Dorothy Yancy, LEC; Chris O'Hara, LEC – CAC Co-Chair; Adam Rodgers, At Large; Richard Rowe, LEC; Jackie Edens, SPC, CAC Co-Chair; Katharine Booton Wilson, SPC; Robret Simpson, SPC; Carrie George; HMIS; Mary Howard, CHA; Stephen Brown, At Large</p> <p>Staff: Nicole Bahena, Carolyn Ross, Elizabeth Perez, All Chicago</p>
BRIEF SUMMARY OF DECISIONS MADE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Agenda and minutes approved.

ACTION ITEMS (INCLUDING ANY AREAS OF UNFINISHED BUSINESS)	PERSON / PARTY RESPONSIBLE	TIMELINE
Send out Collaborative Applicant MOU to Committee	Nicole	Within 1 week
Amend prior minutes	Nicole	Within 1 week
Send Meeting Minutes to Committee	Nicole	Within 1 week
Review the Collaborative Applicant MOU, System Goals, and NOFA Debriefing to help identify a focus for a strategic work plan	Committee	By next meeting

Agenda Items

AGENDA ITEM	Approve Agenda and Minutes
SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT OUTCOMES (MOTIONS, DECISIONS)	<p>Chris provided the following corrections: Chris and Jackie represent CAC co-chairs. Carrie corrected representation is HMIS committee.</p> <p>Kathy moved to approving the minutes with corrections listed above. Dorothy seconded the motion. Motion is approved.</p>

AGENDA ITEM	Review All Chicago Collaborative Applicant 2020 Report
SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT OUTCOMES (MOTIONS, DECISIONS)	<p>Nicole reviewed the Collaborative Applicant 2020 report. The committee raised clarifying questions listed below.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When was the LSA submitted? Carrie shared some clarification on the LSA submission as it was contradictory to communication shared at the HMIS committee. • Did data quality happen quarterly? Carrie shared that data quality did not occur quarterly. <p>Nicole will affirm information listed and update the report as needed.</p> <p>Information was shared regarding the Rental Assistance funding that will be distributed to the City of Chicago. Item was identified as a future agenda topic and encouraged individuals to share opportunities for advocacy or needed support to share with Nicole and Elizabeth.</p>

AGENDA ITEM	Review Strategic All Chicago Collaborative Applicant Workplan for 2021
SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT OUTCOMES (MOTIONS, DECISIONS)	<p>The committee reviewed the NOFA comparison analysis document and focused on the scoring categories. The committee discussed challenges with making yearly comparisons as HUD changes targets, point allocation, and priorities from year to year. The committee discussed the following areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reallocation – the committee discussed policy changes related to involuntary and voluntary reallocation policy implemented last year • Returns to Homelessness – identified issues with data collection inconsistencies, HUD’s collection methods changes, and different funding sources dictating different data collections processes. • Connection/Braiding Funding – the committee discussed how to create opportunities to ensure the CoC Board scope is beyond HUD CoC funding but utilizing other funding sources such as ESG - Homeless Prevention, State Prevention funds etc • Bridging to Other Systems – the group shared ideas on how to create metrics to share with other entities (e.g. Healthcare) that can assist in shared responsibilities/contributor to system performance measures. <p>The committee discussed that HUD does not provide points for innovation models but does provide bonus funding for new approaches that improve system goals. The committee will review the MOU to focus on the interaction between system performance and CoC Implementation Structure’s Lines of Actions.</p> <p>The committee also identified the need to review accountability plans to help inform and prioritize the committee’s activities.</p> <p>Next Steps – the committee will review the MOU, system goals and NOFA debriefing to identify focus for the workplan. Nicole will send out documents for the next meeting in April. Adam will provide a list of questions developed a few years ago to view if any still apply for a community survey.</p>

AGENDA ITEM	2020 and 2021 NOFA Update
SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT OUTCOMES (MOTIONS, DECISIONS)	<p>Elizabeth noted that HUD released a non-competitive process for 2020. We received over \$79 million dollars for Chicago's CoC. Existing CoC projects with an expiration date in the 2020 year will be auto-renewed. Adjustments for the Fair Market Rate were made by HUD. No new funding has been released yet.</p> <p>Committee asked about youth and DV. YHDP will be released and Chicago will be applying. Any new funding focused on DV will be part of a new project process.</p>