



BOARD COMMITTEE DESCRIPTION	The Membership Subcommittee is responsible: (1) Reviewing the membership registration guidelines. (2) Oversight of membership outreach. (3) Reviewing membership benefits and expectations. (4) Reviewing membership dues and making recommendations for changes to the dues structure. (5) Granting hardship waivers of membership dues.
ATTENDEES	Attendees: Chris Hall (YAB), Fred Friedman (LEC), Sherri Allen Reeves (SPC), Chris O'hara (LEC), Robret Simpson (SPC) Staff: Nicole Bahena, Karisma Wilson, Joy Menhennett (All Chicago)
BRIEF SUMMARY OF DECISIONS MADE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Committee moved to make efforts to recruit additional members for the Membership Subcommittee • The Committee moved to bring the recommendation of adding a major developer to the CoC Board to the Board Affairs Committee

ACTION ITEMS (INCLUDING ANY AREAS OF UNFINISHED BUSINESS)	PERSON / PARTY RESPONSIBLE	TIMELINE
Talk to the Board Affairs Committee about getting a major developer on the CoC Board	Nicole Bahena (All Chicago) and Chris O'hara (LEC)	Next BAC Meeting → June 9
Do a call-out in the CoC Newsletter to encourage participation in the Membership Subcommittee	Karisma Wilson (All Chicago)	May 21-24

Agenda Items

AGENDA ITEM	Approve Agenda and Minutes
SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT OUTCOMES (MOTIONS, DECISIONS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fred proposed a motion to begin the meeting with only 3 out of 6 members present. Motion passed. • Nicole proposed to do a call-out in the newsletter to encourage participation in the Membership Subcommittee. The group brainstormed past members who may want to re-engage. • Nicole clarified that this committee is not responsible for All CoC Meeting agenda, but is responsible for getting folks to the meeting. Feedback and input for the agenda is welcome. • Fred proposed a motion to approve the agenda. Sherri seconded. Motion passed. • Sherri proposed a motion to approve the last meeting's minutes. Robret seconded. Motion passed.

AGENDA ITEM	Membership Benefits
--------------------	----------------------------

<p>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT OUTCOMES (MOTIONS, DECISIONS)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Committee discussed the ideal members to recruit to the CoC. Who are we recruiting and why? Where is our greatest impact? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Landlords and developers who can provide affordable and quality housing opportunities. ○ Anyone who has experience or overlap with housing and homelessness: storefront owners, people with lived experience, librarians, college students, healthcare and mental health providers, faith-based organizations, social enterprise organizations, people in the service economy, people who are already active in the community doing grassroots work. ○ Recruit with intention, build relationships, and get participation. ○ Sherri shared that we could do broader outreach to engage people in the CoC. ○ Robret noted that we have members who we have never seen and need more communication to get people more involved. • How do we increase participation? How can we get people involved because it's exciting? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Fred noted that food has been a big incentive to attend meetings in the past, which we can't offer in virtual settings. ○ Chris noted that if just providers are paying dues, it could be easier for others to get involved. ○ Opportunity to have a larger group of voices heard in decision-making process. • The Committee discussed what more members means in terms of impact? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ It can be beneficial to get different stakeholders together in one space to talk about homelessness. Service providers can't do the work to end homelessness alone. ○ Potential challenge lies in lack of staff capacity to do extensive outreach.
--	--

<p>AGENDA ITEM</p>	<p>Membership Subcommittee Recruitment</p>
<p>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT OUTCOMES (MOTIONS, DECISIONS)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The Committee discussed who to target this year for recruitment. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Sherri proposed engaging landlords and property owners (especially those involved in Chicago rents). ○ Robret expanded on this population to include developers: low income housing, SRN, senior housing. ○ The group agrees that this is a target population. ○ Why should landlords and developers join? What are their intentions? It is profitable for them and it benefits us. We can engage landlords to find affordable housing and people who accept vouchers. They will get rent payments and supportive services connected. When developers get contracts, units could be set aside for CoC. (IACC, Bickerdike, Chicago Rehab Network, Cook County Land Bank) - The Committee discussed how to get landlords/developers involved. How do we get them to come to a meeting and participate? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ If all the landlords can't show up, what associations can have a representative to attend the meetings? Can they join affinity groups? ○ Where would developers have the biggest impact?

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ They could serve on the Board or on a Committee. ○ Nicole made a motion to make a recommendation to the Board Affairs Committee to have a major developer on the CoC Board. Robret seconded. Motion passed. - Next time—need to discuss what recruitment looks like more.
--	---

AGENDA ITEM	Debrief Today's Meeting
SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT OUTCOMES (MOTIONS, DECISIONS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The Committee discussed what went well and what didn't.