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Agenda

1. Welcome & Introductions
2. Review of Current Prioritization
3. CE Housing Outcomes 2018
4. System Level Overview
5. New Prioritization
6. Priority Populations under New Prioritization
7. Timeline
8. Discussion
Background Information

Prior to the availability of the Dashboard To End Homelessness, the Coordinated Access Steering Committee (CASC) prioritized the community goals of ending chronic youth homelessness.

A Prioritization Think Tank, a work group of the CE Leadership Team, did a national scan of CE prioritization plans and local data including from the Chicago’s Dashboard to End Homelessness to create a draft 2019 prioritization plan that aims to create an equitable path to housing for all sub-populations.
Background Information

This presentation of the draft 2019 Prioritization Plan will be offered to the following groups for feedback:

• Coordinated Entry Family Workgroup
• Coordinated Entry Rapid Rehousing Workgroup
• Coordinated Entry Permanent Supportive Housing Workgroup
• Crisis Response Outreach Workgroup
• Youth Leadership Team
• Youth Transitional Housing System Integration Team

The next step will be to bring your feedback to the Coordinated Entry Leadership Team for final approval of the next iteration of the CE Prioritization Plan
Current Prioritization

Priority Population
- Chronic Youth
- Chronic Families
- Chronic Individuals
- Non-Chronic

Tie Breakers
- Veterans
- Vulnerability Index
- Length of Homelessness
- Date of Assessment
Housing Outcomes 2018: Number Housed

Experiencing Chronic Homelessness

- 636 (57%)
- 477 (43%)

CH Not CH
Housing Outcomes 2018: Number Housed

Experiencing Homelessness

Non-Chronic

- Single Adults: 304 (48%)
- Youth: 217 (34%)
- Families: 115 (18%)

- CH: 477 (43%)
- Not CH: 636 (57%)

Chronic

- Single Adults: 106 (22%)
- Youth: 133 (28%)
- Families: 238 (50%)

*Most non-chronic youth were housed by Youth Transitional Housing providers and most non-chronic single adults were Veterans housed by Supportive Services for Veteran Family providers*
System Overview
System Overview

- Housing Inventory
- Current Population on the One List
- Length of Homelessness
Housing Inventory

Units by Model Type

- PSH: 83%
- RRH: 10%
- Youth-TH: 4%
- Other: 3%

Units by Population

- Individuals: 38%
- Families: 51%
- Youth: 8%
- Indiv. & Families: 3%

Source: 2018 HIC

1938 Units are Veteran Dedicated
2693 Units are Chronic Dedicated
Current Population on One List

- Chronic: 2795 (30%)
- Non-Chronic: 6648 (70%)

Source: Chicago’s Dashboard to End Homelessness 2019.01.09
Current Population on One List

- Youth: 1418 (15%)
- Families: 1277 (14%)
- Individuals: 6748 (71%)

Veterans = 715
Veterans Individuals = 669

Source: Chicago’s Dashboard to End Homelessness 2019.01.09
Current Population on One List

Experiencing Homelessness

**Non-Chronic**
- Single Adults: 1320 (20%)
- Youth: 1126 (17%)
- Families: 4201 (63%)

**Chronic**
- Single Adults: 2795 (30%)
- Youth: 324 (12%)
- Families: 2179 (78%)

**Veterans**
- Total Veterans: 555
  - Individuals: 521
- Total Veterans: 715
  - Individuals: 669
- Total Veterans: 160
  - Individuals: 148
System Performance Indicator – Length of Time Homeless

- Length of time homeless is a HUD System Performance Measure
- HUD reviews our progress to reduce the Length of Time Homeless in our system
- The Chicago CoC is scored by HUD on our ability to reduce the number of days from year to year.
## Length of Homelessness

### Chronic Homeless with Longest Length of Time Homeless

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years of Homelessness</th>
<th>Chronic Youth</th>
<th>Chronic Adults</th>
<th>Chronic Families 25+ w/ Children</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Over 20 Years</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 15 Years</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 10 Years</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 5 Years</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 3 Years</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 2 Years</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 1 Year</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 1 Year</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>2606</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Length of Homelessness

Chronic Homeless by Experience of Homelessness Greater than 2 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years of Homelessness</th>
<th>Chronic Youth</th>
<th>Chronic Adults</th>
<th>Chronic Families 25+ w/ Children</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Over 2 Years</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>1368</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>1588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Chronic Population by Cohort</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 38% of chronic youth have experienced more than three years of homelessness
- 66% of chronic adults have experienced more than three years of homelessness
- 34% of chronic families have experienced more than three years of homelessness
## Self-Report vs. Date of Identification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diff b/w Date of Length to Time Homeless and Date of Identification</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>% of One List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 0</td>
<td>1595</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2327</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - 100</td>
<td>1539</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 - 200</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 - 300</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300+</td>
<td>3089</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

58% of Households are NOT overreporting.
New Draft Prioritization

Creating An Equitable Path to Housing for All Sub-populations
New Draft Prioritization

**Priority Population**
- Length of Homelessness

**Tie Breakers**
- Unsheltered
- Veterans
- Vulnerability Index
- Domestic Violence
## New Prioritization – Length of Homelessness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Type</th>
<th>Prioritization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Permanent Supportive Housing (requires a disability)** | Chronic Households  
Length of Homelessness (highest to lowest) |
| **Rapid Rehousing**                        | Chronic Households  
Length of Time Homeless  
(2 years or less) |
| **Transitional Housing (Youth)**           | Non-chronic Households  
Length of Time Homeless |
| **Safe Havens (Stays as is)**              | Observational assessment by VI score |
| **Permanent Housing w/ Short Term Supports (Stays as is)** | Non-chronic families and individuals by VI score |

10% of PSH and RRH will be set-aside for chronic youth.
Current and New Prioritization Impacts: PSH

Youth: 32% in % Units Current, 10% in % Chronic One List, 9% in % Units New
Single Adults: 68% in % Units Current, 78% in % Chronic One List, 84% in % Units New
Families: 0% in % Units Current, 0% in % Chronic One List, 0% in % Units New
Veterans: 1% in % Units Current, 5% in % Chronic One List, 9% in % Units New
Current and New Prioritization Impacts: RRH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Units Current</th>
<th>% Chronic One List</th>
<th>% Units New</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73%</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Youth
- Single Adults
- Families

1% Veterans
< 1% Veterans
Current and New Prioritization Impacts: All Interventions

% Units Current: 64%

% One List: 78%

% Units New: 70%

- Youth: 32%
- Single Adults: 17%
- Families: 4%

Veterans: 2%
Current and New Prioritization Impacts: All Interventions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Units Current</th>
<th>% One List</th>
<th>% Units New</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Youth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Youth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Adults</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Singles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Families</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Families</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The CoC ACTION Agenda
New Draft Prioritization: Tie Breakers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tie Breakers</th>
<th>% of One List</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unsheltered</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterans</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulnerability Index</td>
<td>Varied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domestic Violence</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Vulnerability Index (VI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VI High/Low</th>
<th>CH Status</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Total for Population</th>
<th>Total for CH status</th>
<th>Total for VI High/Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High VI</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>Youth 18-24</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Single Adults</td>
<td>119</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Families 25+</td>
<td>146</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not CH</td>
<td>Youth 18-24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Single Adults</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Families 25+</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low VI</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>Youth 18-24</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>952</td>
<td>1456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Single Adults</td>
<td>824</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Families 25+</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Not CH</td>
<td>Youth 18-24</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>504</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Single Adults</td>
<td>305</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Families 25+</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
New Draft Prioritization Purpose

• In summary, the new prioritization plan aims to ensure that households with the greatest length of homelessness are housed, even if they do not have the highest VI score.

• Given this shift, a 10% set-aside for youth will be integrated into both Permanent Supportive Housing and Rapid Rehousing.

• A new streamlined plan for tie-breakers pulls in the need to account for unsheltered households as well as people impacted by domestic violence.
Discussion

1. What are your thoughts and reactions? → Write this on a Post-It Note
2. Does this prioritization seem more equitable?
3. How do you feel about deemphasizing the Vulnerability Index (VI) in prioritization?
4. How should length of homelessness be determined or standardized?