

New Projects Process Committee

MINUTES

DATE 6-30-16

START TIME 1:05PM

MEETING CALLED BY	Amanda Borta
NOTE TAKER	Amanda Borta
ATTENDEES	Present: Billy Sharpe, Melvin Alexander, Chris O'Hara, Dorothy Yancy, David Dempsey, Susan Reyna, Gwendolyn Turner, Amanda Borta Absent: Ahmad Djangi, Sherri Allen Reeves

Agenda topics

VOTE ON COMMITTEE SLATE	The committee agreed to continue with the meeting without all members present. No members present volunteered to participate as Chair and agreed unanimously to allow Amanda to lead the meeting. Chris O'Hara volunteered to act as a "Lead" for the group and provide additional reports to other committees, such as the CoC Board, if needed.	
COMMITTEE PURPOSE AND TIMELINE	Amanda provided an overview of the committee purpose and reviewed the new project funding opportunities from the FY16 NOFA, released on June 29 th . The timeline for this year's submission process was outlined. With project applications needing to be submitted 30 days before the competition deadline of September 14 th , the group decided by consensus that no LOI process would be necessary, just an application.	
REVIEW 2015 NEW PROJECT PROCESS	<p>The group reviewed the FY15 Permanent Housing Bonus Project Application. The changes and discussion can be summarized as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Emphasize 25% match as minimum and more should be provided to be competitive. • Target Populations: change "Criminal Background" to "Ex-Offenders" and "Mental Health" to "Mental Health Issues" and add "Other" option just in case. • Agency APRs should be reviewed during the selection process to review demonstrated performance. • Add question regarding demonstrated positive impact on system performance, due to HUD's emphasis on project performance and impact on system performance. • Instead of CRS, use "Coordinated Entry Procedures or Process" to account for CRS possibly being phased out and the evolving referral processes (One List, etc.) • Ensure alignment with housing first in eligibility criteria. • Project may need to scale up or down depending on amount of funding available (not yet determined by HEARTH FOTG). • Should additional HMIS reports be submitted with the application? <p>As HEARTH FOTG has not yet determined reallocating, the amount of funding firmly available is only the 5% for Bonus projects (about \$3 million). Additional funds may be available through reallocation. Projects can apply for any amount up to the \$3 million and adjust if needed based on availability.</p> <p>The group briefly reviewed the Coordinated Access SSO Application, but confirmed by consensus that changes would not be needed as it was just confirmed earlier in the year. If the opportunity is available, the application will be ready.</p> <p>Amanda to complete all changes in draft form and send to group for final feedback before next week.</p>	
ADJOURNMENT:	MINUTES SUBMITTED BY:	APPROVED BY:
2:30pm	Amanda	Non-approved (did not meet following)